

**Zoning Board of Adjustment Public Hearing  
Richmond Pavilion**

**September 9, 2020**

**7:00 PM**

**Members Present**

Alan Schmidt,  
Mark Beauregard  
Lloyd Condon  
Antoinette Cincotta

**Members Absent**

Larry Richardson, Vice Chairman  
Jean Tandy, Alt.

Public present: Kevin Delaney ITW, Kevin Fadden ITW, Shayna Galinate, Esq. Corporate Staff Attorney, ITW, Steven Grill, Esq. Law office of Steven E. Grill, NH Attorney for ITW, Attorney Joseph Hoppock, Law office of Joseph S. Hoppock PLLC, for Town of Richmond ZBA, Susan Taylor, Jeffrey Taylor, Gregory Butko Jerry Mills Claire Jahnke, Claus Jahnke, Mariusz Zielinski, Nate Jette, Betty Buzzell, Susan Wyatt, Maureen Schorr, Ann Hamilton, Sister Mary Peter MICM, Juliette Latednresse, Lisa Traeger, Sister Mary Joseph MICM, Sister Maria Junipera, MICM, Sister, Marie Gabrielle, MICM, Sister Maria Philomena, MICM Susan Blair, Sister Maria Orsaria, MICM, Sister Marie Therese, MICM, Sister Maria Perpeua, MICM, Phil Szczygiel, Gayle Szczygiel, Sara Signego, Sue Heilman, Walter Shea, Cay Dilello, Elizabeth Pursell, Norwood H. Keeney III, Andrew Wallace, Douglas Bersaw, Susan Harrington, Betty Buzzell, Amanda Grinstead, Bradley Grinstead, Eric Duda, Jim Harper, Kelton Dray, Matt Powderly, Doug Smith.

**1.Meeting called to order/roll call:**

**2.Map 407 Lot 95 (Special Exception)**

Hearing was called to order at 7:10 PM.

Chairman Schmidt opened the hearing explaining that this was a continuation from the Public Hearing of August 12, 2020. Same rules will apply as in the last hearing.

Chairman Schmidt opened the floor to the applicant.

Attorney Grill started by limiting rebuttal to opposed in the last meeting. Last meeting concern on property values was introduced. Property values is not a basis to deny the applicant according to Federal law. The Zoning Board took view of the criteria with the law concept in mind.

Also included in the information was concern of the site. The site chosen is as remote as you can find. The site is over 900 feet from the abutters, 1500 feet to Rt. 119 (Fitzwilliam Road) and 100's of feet from the abutters property line. This is not perfect for the Hamilton's who spoke in opposition but this is not a sufficient basis to offer a denial. The Hamilton's will have no view of the tower to the best of our knowledge.

Attorney Grill spoke to a concern for property values. Information was submitted at the last meeting that held no standing and a general mailing to residents displayed the same information. A quoted survey with no facts to tie into Richmond and the ability to sell properties. Industrial Tower and Wireless, LLC contracted an

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

independent company Fair Market Advisors, LLC located in Hollis NH. to look at similar properties in the area for comparison.

Attorney Grill gave some examples from the report submitted:

440 Winchester Rd. Richmond, on 14.38 acres is located within 1390 feet south of a 155-foot cell tower sold for more than comparable properties for the age and size. This was included to display marketability with regards to proximity to a cell tower.

Due to the lack of home sales in close proximity to a cell tower in Richmond the search was expanded.

49 Middle Winchendon Road, Rindge, NH. This property sold above its asking price in 3 days. There is a view of the cell tower from this home.

60 Michigan St. Winchester, NH sold for above asking price and is located 1.325 feet from a 180-foot cell tower.

169 Oak Hill Road, Springfield, NH, was under contract within 34 days of being put on the market. This residence has a cell tower located across the street.

Attorney Grill continued that with the data on hand there is no viable impact on cell towers and sales. Cell towers can be compared with a swimming pool. When a buyer with a young child doesn't want a swimming pool due to the possibility of an accident with their young children, they won't purchase that home. Not all assets are favorable to all people. The data at hand doesn't support that property values are being affected.

Kevin Delaney, Engineering and Regulatory for ITW was given the floor. Delaney started with alternative sites in Richmond, this was a concern in the last hearing. Delaney conducted the alternative site and analysis suitable for constructions of a cell tower. The site is chosen by RF characteristics, elevation, vegetation, willingness of the owner, allowed by town zoning and constructability of the site in reference to grade/steepness of the terrain. Delaney looked at 19 locations in Richmond as possible sites.

407-1 is a 36-acre property but is too steep to build on.

407-2 is a 17-acre parcel just north of the proposed site, the terrain would block the coverage.

407-3 is a 14.7-acre parcel just north east of the proposed site, the terrain would block the coverage.

407-4, 404-5 and 407-9 are a total of 1,131 acres but the owner wasn't interested.

407-10 is a 13.2 acre parcel the coverage was objectional due to terrain the lot couldn't meet the fall zone.

407-89 is a 12-acre parcel just due east of the proposed site but could not meet the fall zone.

407-9- is a 4.4-acre parcel and couldn't meet the fall zone.

407.91 is a 4.7-acre parcel and couldn't meet the fall zone.

407-92 is a 2.5-acre parcel and couldn't meet the fall zone.

407-93 is a 2.5-acre parcel and couldn't meet the fall zone.

407-94.2 is a 7.4-acre parcel and couldn't meet the fall zone.

407-94.3 is a 7.8-acre parcel due south of the proposed site but the owner wasn't interested.

407-94-2 is a 7.42-acre parcel and couldn't meet the fall zone.

407-94-3 is a 7.86-acre parcel owner wasn't interested.

407-97 is a 12.1-acre parcel and would not provide coverage.

407-98 is a 6.8-acre parcel that would not meet the coverage or the fall zone.

408.91 is a 66-acre parcel terrain level is very low and would not cover the Rt.32 area.

408-92 is a 125- acre parcel and would not give coverage

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

408-91-1.is a 157.4-acre parcel would not meet coverage, too steep to build on.

Delaney explained that the ridge line creates a problem both to the east or west and the drops are close to 300 feet.

Delaney added that they must also comply with the National Environmental Protection Agency (NEPA) criteria

1. Wilderness Wildlife: Pertains mainly to Federal land.
2. Endangered Species or habitat: The proposed cell tower would not impact.
3. Historic significant Site: Listing under the Historical Registry or the NH Division of Historical Resources, the proposed site location doesn't pertain.
4. Flood Plain: If it was in a flood plain it would have to be 1 foot above the flood plain, proposed location is not within the flood plain.
5. Wetlands: All construction is outside of the wetland derestriction.
6. High Intensity lighting: No lighting on top, not high enough, FAA permit.
7. RF Exposure: RF is way below the allowed level.

The proposed location is in compliance with all criteria.

Delaney went on to address the evolution of the cell phone, explaining the RF factors for the different generations of cell towers.

He continued to explain that the low band is about 600-700-megahertz, medium band 1-6 Gigahertz and is affected by the trees and landscape and the high band which is affected by a lot. Low band is used in towns and in dense areas. High band is used in areas when demand is up, like sporting arenas or theatres. High band is usually used with small cell and it wouldn't be seen in a town like Rihcmond. If approved through the Zoning Board and the Planning Board construction would not take place until the spring or summer of 2021.

Attorney Grill asked for the floor and addressed the NH Commission to Study the Environmental Health Effects of Evolving 5G Technology, this report will not be out until the beginning of November. The report will only be recommendation for further study of 5G with high band. Others that are running on existing frequency will have further studies, if it's not safe or limited in any way they will go with the recommendation to comply with the FCC standards.

At this time the applicant was finished and Chairman Schmidt asked for any questions from the floor. There were no questions. Chairman Schmidt asked for questions from the board members.

Cincotta asked for the floor, she wanted to know if the contracts for the carriers were lined up yet. Attorney Grill answered that they are not lined up yet, and this is a situation with most towers.

Cincotta added that it could be there will not be any carriers for the tower. Attorney Grill disagreed; it will happen.

Cincotta inquired about the cell tower on the west side of town.

Attorney Grill explained that it is not an ITW tower but was an AT&T tower purchased from US Cellar and he was not at liberty to discuss that tower.

Cincotta discussed an alternative site on the East side of town that was at least 1400 feet just North of the power lines.

Delaney said that the elevation is not the only determining factor.

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

Cincotta asked Delaney if he had been to the site on Windswept Mt. View Christmas Tree Farm.

Delaney said he had walked the site several times.

Cincotta talked about the property and if there was a view.

Delaney said yes there was a very nice view from standing on the property. The only way to access the location was from the Taylors.

Chairman Schmidt spoke up adding that it was irrelevant to see the actual site. Is this in the Richmond zoning and is it acceptable with the criteria, the rest of the points are not germane. Questions you're asking are being asked in the wrong place. The ZBA is interested in only 3 questions.

1. Did the Richmond residents vote to allow this in their zoning.
2. Is the site an appropriate location by surrounding land uses.
3. Must determine if the proposed use would adversely affect the use, enjoyment or value of adjacent property.

Cincotta continued that the view of the tower and its impact cannot be determined without a balloon test or a site walk.

Attorney Grill said they would do the balloon test if they had to.

Concotta discussed the review of minutes and asked did IWT in the course of assessment allow for noise emissions.

Attorney Grill answered that there would be no noise except for a generator, they allow one generator which would be like starting your car, running at an idle and walking 350 feet away.

Cincotta wanted to know if all abutters including towns were notified within 20 miles of the proposed site.

Delaney answered the question by saying towns were notified.

Chairman Schmidt went through a list of topics that have already been discussed in previous hearings and asked if there were any new topics for the applicant from the public. Starting with public opposed.

Amanda Grinstead asked for the floor. Grinstead wanted to correct minutes she asked at the last hearing but it wasn't done at the end of the hearing.

Chairman Schmidt wanted to wait to deal with minutes until after the hearing.

Grinstead started by asking about a cell tower application and minutes from March 3, 2010. During the site plan review according to Mr. Grill it was important to know the impact. Balloons floated as close as possible to the proposed site. Mr. Grill the same Attorney explained the importance to do a balloon test early and it could be repeated if necessary. Grinstead added the 2010 tower at 150 foot was for a co-location and they promised coverage to the Town.

Chairman Schmidt spoke up and said he knew it would not help him in North Richmond at the time of the hearings in 2010.

Grinstead asked how the setback is determined through the Federal Government setbacks or 25 feet from the cell phone tower.

Chairman Schmidt offered that it meets the town setback which he believes to be 25 feet from the abutter's property line.

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

Delaney added that there is no regulations for setback. The fall zone is 125% of the height of the tower which is 219 feet. The present distance to the abutter line is 350 feet.

Grinstead asked if anyone can see the tower from other towns. Were the other towns contacted.

Mattson answered yes that roughly 25 towns were contacted that ITW went further than is required.

Greg Butko asked for the floor, he mentioned that Kevin Delaney talked about different generations of 5G, low, med, and high band. Delaney said that high band would not work in a rural area and the range was limited. Why use the range of 5G if high band isn't here.

Chairman Schmidt answered that looking at the site it is what it is regardless at this time it's not being built for 5G.

Butko stated that once it's built it will go further up to 5G people are concerned about their health.

Elizabeth Purcell asked for the floor, she said there was too much concern about 5G why not be concerned about having service. Purcell thinks they are delaying the issue and would like to have the tower now.

Maureen Schorr asked for the floor, she said she built a house on Morgan Road and is retired from the health care field. She taught at a university where they had 3 cell towers on campus. The parents had no concern other than can my kids call home? Schorr wants a cell phone that works, she pays over \$600.00 per year to Consolidated for a land line. If she's walking in the woods for mushrooms and falls, she can't call for help. Even walking on the road if something happens, she has no service to call for help. Her family worries about her.

Schorr discussed her neighbor across the street who has a disability and he can only communicate with his family by texting. There is no service on Morgan Road.

Schorr asked for public health and safety through the Federal Health asking under the Americans with Disabilities Act to be able to have a cell phone and video chat with her new granddaughter.

Matt Powderly asked for the floor and wanted to know if there were any provisions made to allow for Public Safety on the tower.

Chairman Schmidt answered yes, that there would be free space for police, fire and rescue at no cost to the town as long as the town purchased the equipment and paid for the installation on the tower.

Ann Hamilton Monument Road and an abutter asked for the floor. Hamilton asked under 1105.1.3 how the tower will not adversely affect the use, enjoyment or value of her property.

Chairman Schmidt responded by saying that the board has to determine a balance between the rights of all parties involved.

Hamilton asked at the end of 1105.1.3 it says other conditions that are associated with the proposed use but are not typical conditions for the area. What are the other conditions?

Chairman Schmidt answered he wasn't sure.

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

Hamilton asked about 309 Building Height: the building height may not exceed 35 feet.

Chairman Schmidt answered that the building height was put into zoning due to the town only having fire equipment that could reach 35 feet. Schmidt continued that there are allowances for cell towers.

Hamilton continued that there is no mention of who's going to be on the tower if it goes up in 2-3 months it will just be an ugly tower. There are no cell carriers contracted.

Delaney said there will be no worries that the occupants will come no more than 1 year after tower is completed it will be rented.

Hamilton spoke to the fact that there is only one carrier AT&T on the cell tower on the West of town.

Lloyd Condon spoke up and said that US Cellular sold to AT&T there are several shelves sitting on tower.

Schmidt added, that is AT&T's problem and Site Plan Review would follow for the proposed tower.

Hamilton mentioned that on the Site Plan 1 and 3 that her name and page 3 deed was 94.3 not 94.4 two different lots.

Schmidt explained at this time with this tower there is no kind of issue involving this to affect the ZBA hearing.

Attorney Hoppock explained that there is not an issue with your deed reference it has nothing to do with your deed.

Hamilton asked why other board members were not called. She was at a hearing where a member was called.

Schmidt explained that other members had some serious illnesses or due to age didn't want to be at the hearing with people who did not accept guidelines and wear masks. It's the board members right to stay home. The board have asked the Selectmen to look at ZOOM meetings to allow for more participation.

Attorney Hoppock said that the board as it sits was a quorum and as long as the applicant didn't object to a 4 board instead of a 5 member board than there is no issue.

Hamilton asked if Oliver Roseline in Delaware was notified the address is co-listed under Peterborough.

Mattson replied that yes two notices were sent out one to Peterborough and a second to Delaware.

Hamilton said that the application proposed has 925 feet from her home. When did they discover that it is 940 feet?

Delaney said it was a misstatement it is over 900 feet to your house.

Schmidt asked Attorney Hoppock if this was an issue.

Attorney Hoppock said he heard over 900 feet if the board has no issue with it.

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

Hamilton said it's my home how far and where it will be is a concern of mine.

Hamilton continued that under 101 General Purpose in Richmond Zoning to promote the Health, safety and welfare. Hamilton added that the tower would be adding congestion with cars going up and down the driveway. The driveway is already an access road for Mr. Taylor seasonal retail business. Emergency personnel would compete with cars already on the driveway, through crowds looking for Christmas trees just to reach the access road. The business could delay emergency personnel from responding timely. This creates a public danger.

Hamilton continued that the Wetland delineation was conducted in January 2020. Wetland delineation should be done during the growing season to obtain identification of plant species. Wetlands could be closer than what is provided on the plans.

Hamilton disagreed with the measurement of 1500 feet from Rt. 119. The plan states that 119 is 1500-foot setback. She believes that 1500-foot setback would be on her property since Mr. Taylor property measures 1493.5 feet and remove the 352.4 feet from the Hamilton property and the end amount is 1141.1-foot setback from 119. Hamilton's question is how can this be voted on when the setback appears to be off by 358.9 feet?

Delany from IWT answered that it is more than 1400 feet and more than 900 feet from Hamilton's road.

Chairman Schmidt asked if Delaney was verbally changing his distances?

Attorney Hoppock said the exact distance needs to be on the final Site Plan.

Chairman Schmidt added that lets assume the surveyor made a mistake, so noted.

Hamilton addressed Richmond Town Ordinance 1105.1.3 her concern is that the use, enjoyment and value of adjacent property. Hamilton does not see evidence from ITW that their property value will not decrease. She and her husband have provided evidence that it will likely decrease. Hamilton believes that this will affect the use and enjoyment of their property. The Hamilton's walk and bike trails they created through their property and will no longer feel safe to let their children play in the woods. Chris Hamilton presented numerous documented evidence of towers collapsing, exploding, catching fire, malfunctioning, ice failing and flying from towers. These are many reasons why they feel as if the tower will adversely affect their home and could cause injury.

Hamilton added that noise created by generators, alarms, maintenance, companies driving in and out for maintenance and propane truck deliveries. Noise created by the lattice design and wind blowing through could create a noise not usually heard in their area. Dust from a dirt driveway rather than paved is a concern, exhaust from generators and the health risks. Concerns for privacy with workers while the tower is being built at 175 feet would allow the workers a view of their property. The tower will have 24 hr. monitoring and she does not want her children on someone's monitoring system.

Hamilton quoted a letter from the Conservation Commission from Jeffery Taylor to Kimberly Bose when the pipeline was a threat with an accurate description of Richmond. The letter was clear that residents don't expect modern conveniences. (attached under Susan Hamilton dated 9.9.2020)

Hamilton had concerns of the tower attracting lighting and creating a fire. Concern for her own home with lighting strikes affecting their ground water, well pump and foundation. She asked that seismic studies be done before, during, and after construction.

Hamilton questioned some of the information that was put on ITW's application for a special exception.

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

1105.4 Commercial or light Industrial Uses. It is her opinion that this ordinance doesn't exist but the current Zoning is 1105.4, specifically 1105.4.2 "No noise, airborne particles, vibrations, lights, or other activities associated with the business shall pose a nuisance to abutting properties." Hamilton stated that the vehicles performing maintenance day or night, wind blowing through the tower alarms sounding, generators running are to her a nuisance.

1105.4.5 Hamilton questioned if the road to the facility would be paved in accordance with 1105.4.5.

1105.3.1 Hamilton questioned if there was already a retail business with no more than 2 employees would this go against the ordinance? ITW workers and cellular carrier company workers would involve more than 2 employees.

1105.1.2 Hamilton does not believe the location is appropriate. Road network would be shared with a retail business and if there was an emergency it would be difficult and delay access.

1105.3.3 In response to 1105.3.3 Hamilton believes that adding a cell tower would definitely change the character of the neighborhood.

Hamilton shared that she and her husband have been in Richmond for 14 years. They chose Richmond due to the rural, peaceful area. If the proposed tower is built in their backyard, they may have to make the difficult decision to move due to lack of research on the effects of a cell tower and their health being unknown.

Hamilton talked about the towers in the other surrounding towns and how many of them only had one or two carriers. Her concern was building the tower and not having carriers or only one carrier or no carriers. She asked what carriers they had interest from.

Delaney said the once the tower is built the carriers will come.

Chairman Schmidt added that it would be a detriment to their bottom line and the IWT who wants as many as they can get to come.

Hamilton mentioned as to her last statement that not all the maps and paperwork was located at the Town Hall for her the access. She went but didn't receive the updated information.

Mattson replied that everything she received from the applicant was located at the Town Hall.

In closing Hamilton asked the Board to put aside personal wants and take note of how many ordinances will be violated in exchange for the safety and health of members of Richmond's community.

Hamilton thanked the board for listening to her concern.

Chairman Schmidt asked if there were any more comments from the floor.

Susan Harrington asked for the floor. Susan wanted to just set the record straight that all of the information was located at the town hall.



THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

With no further response from the floor he entertained a motion to close the public hearing.

Motion made by Beauregard to close the public hearing. Seconded by Condon. There was no discussion from the board.

Two in favor. None opposed. Motion carries.

Chairman Schmidt started addressing Article 11: Board of Adjustment, under 1105 Special Exceptions More specifically 1105.1 General Provisions that apply to all Special Exception Uses.

1105.1.1 The proposed use is designated as a special exception use.

Yes, Article 3: General Provisions under 312 Commercial Wireless Communications Facilities. Such facilities are permitted only in the Residential District, by Special Exception of the Zoning Board of Adjustment, and subject to Site Plan Approval by the Planning Board.

Chairman Schmidt asked to entertain a motion

Motion made by Beauregard that this is allowed through the Richmond Zoning Ordinances. Seconded by Condon.

Two in favor. One opposed. Motion carries.

1105.1.2 The proposed site is an appropriate location as determined, in part, by the surrounding land uses and the road network.

Chairman Schmidt asked to entertain a motion.

Cincotta suggested doing a site walk, walks are done all the time.

Chairman Schmidt answered that it is unnecessary

Motion made by Cincotta to have a site walk and a balloon test on the site. Chairman Schmidt asked for a second. There was not second.

Chairman Schmidt asked for a motion in reference to the site being in an appropriate location.

Motion made by Condon to accept. Seconded by Beauregard.

Cincotta spoke that there was not enough information.

Chairman Schmidt asked if there was any discussion, with no discussion Chairman Schmidt asked for a vote.

Two in favor. One opposed. Motion carries.

1105.1.3 The proposed use will not adversely affect the use, enjoyment or value of adjacent property. In making this determination, the Board will consider such factors as excessive noise, traffic, dust, glare, odors, or other conditions that are associated with the proposed use but are not typical conditions for the area.

Chairman Schmidt asked for a motion.

So, moved by Condon. Seconded by Beauregard.

Discussion from the board, Evidence was overwhelming that a proposed use of 900 feet would not adversely affect an abutters property.

Chairman Schmidt asked to accept the proposal for the third condition of a special exception for the application.

Two in favor. One opposed. Motion carries.

The application was conditionally approved by the Zoning Board of Adjustment.

Two conditions were added for the final decision.

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

1. Generators located at the proposed facility must have hospital grade muffler to reduce the noise when generators are in use.
2. Industrial Tower and Wireless, LLC has one year after completion to have cell carriers in place and ready for service.

Cincotta made a request to amend the minutes of July 15, 2020.

Cincotta moved to adjust the minutes of July 15, 2020. There was no second. Cincotta wanted to change the minutes and wants it in the record.

Chairman Schmidt suggested starting with the minutes of January 8, 2020 and the board would work forward.

**3.Minutes January 8, 2020:**

Page 2, Third paragraph, second line, change the word the to the word **to**. Sentence should read: ditch was put in **to** divert all the water down to the culvert.

Motion made by Condon to accept the minutes as amended. Seconded by Beauregard. Two in favor. One abstention. Motion carries.

**Minutes July 15, 2020:**

Page 1, under 2. Map 407 Lot 95, Special Exception, fourth paragraph down, second line change the word present to **presented**. End of sentence should read: Massachusetts **presented** the proposed Special Exception.

Cincotta had changes to the minutes and read them to the board. The changes were numerous Chairman Schmidt agreed to accept a difference of opinion of what was said. Chairman Schmidt made Cincotta's changes a part of the minutes it seemed like the cleanest way to accommodate the discrepancies. (see attached)

Amanda Grinstead had changes and wanted the minutes corrected as she was told via email by Kandace Mattson they would change them. Chairman Schmidt suggested doing the same with changes Grinstead had and put them in the record. (see attached)

Grinstead asked when this goes to court what if they are not in the record?

Chairman Schmidt responded that all will be at the Town Hall.

Motion made by Condon to accept the minutes as amended. Seconded by Beauregard. Two in favor. One protest. Motion carries.

**Minutes July 16, 2020 (site walk):**

Page 2, First paragraph, second line: remove the t from the sentence between existing and residential, should read: more intrusion on setbacks than the existing residential home already does.

Motion made by Condon to accept the minutes as amended. Seconded by Beauregard. Two in favor. One abstention. Motion carries.

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

**Minutes August 12, 2020:**

Page 3, fourth paragraph down, fourth line change the words there is too **has**, sentence should read: **has no** requirement to have it on a single piece of land.

Page 4, seventh section down, first line, change the number 4 to the number **3**. Sentence should read: Schmidt mentioned that the Zoning Very simply states Special Exception and if it answers **3** different criteria

Page 8, first paragraph, twelve lines down change the word no to **not**. Sentence should read: Duda said he was **not** in line with a public hearing and said,

Motion to accept the minutes as amended by Condon. Seconded by Beauregard. Two in favor. One abstention. Motion carries.

Two conditions were added for the final decision.

1. Generators located at the proposed facility must have hospital grade muffler to reduce the noise when generators are in use.
2. Industrial Tower and Wireless, LLC has one year after completion to have cell carriers in place and ready for service.

Chairman Schmidt made the motion to accept the conditions. Seconded by Condon. Two in favor. One abstention. Motion carries.

Land Use Assistant will work on the Notice of Decision and have it approved and signed by Chairman Schmidt. Findings of Facts will be worked on and finalized through Chairman Schmidt.

**4.CZI Notification for Eversource.**

CZI notification of start of construction for transmission line maintenance project located in Richmond, NH. This notification is on behalf of Eversource and will perform replacing 10 utility poles along the existing and maintained 379 Transmission Line.

**5.Other:**

No other.

Motion made by Condon to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Beauregard, Two in favor. One abstention. Motion carries.

Meeting adjourned at 10:20 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Kandace Mattson

Attachments:

Law Office of Steven E. Grill, Received August 19, 2020.

Received via email from Amanda Grinstead dated August 24, 2020.

Received from Kevin Delany, Fair Market Advisors, LLC. Dated September 1, 2020

THESE MINUTES MAY BE APPROVED AND/OR AMENDED AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING

Received via email from Law Office of Steven E. Grill, dated September 5, 2020

Received link via email from Antoinette Cincotta dated September 8, 2020

NH Commission of 5 G Interim Report.

Received via email from Law Office of Steven E. Grill, dated September 8, 2020

Received from Antoinette Cincotta changes to July 15, 2020 minutes.

Received via email from Amanda Grinstead Declaration of Amanda Grinstead on September 5, 2020

Received from Ann Hamilton dated September 9, 2020.

Received from Ann Hamilton wetland delineations dated September 9, 2020

Received from Kevin Delaney ITW map of research for all potential sites in Richmond.

Received from Kevin Delaney ITW Topographical map of all locations researched in Richmond.

Received September 9, 2020 Richmond mountaineering Society.